

# An efficient algorithm to add up-links to a rooted tree to obtain a minimum cost 2-connected graph

Gabriel Morete de Azevedo<sup>1</sup>, Yoshiko Wakabayashi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Matemática e Estatística – Universidade de São Paulo (USP)  
Av. Prof. Luciano Gualberto, 1171 - Butantã, São Paulo - SP, 05508-090

{morete, yw}@ime.usp.br

**Abstract.** We present an efficient algorithm to solve a special case of the following node-connectivity augmentation problem. Given a tree  $T = (V, E)$  and an additional set  $L \subset \binom{V}{2}$  of edges, called links,  $L \cap E = \emptyset$ , each one with a rational nonnegative cost, find a minimum cost set of links  $F \subseteq L$  such that  $T + F$  is 2-connected. In general form, this problem is NP-hard. We focus on the up-link variation, where the tree  $T$  has a root, and every link is an edge from a node to its ancestor. We present a linear formulation for this problem together with a proof of integrality and an efficient combinatorial algorithm for it.

## 1. Introduction

Connectivity augmentation problems were introduced by [Eswaran and Tarjan 1976] and rapidly became a central topic in the design of *survivable networks*. In these problems, we are given a graph  $G = (V, E)$  and we wish to augment by 1 the node-connectivity or the edge-connectivity of  $G$  by economically adding new edges. The new edges, called *links*, are elements of a given set  $L \subset \binom{V}{2}$  and have non-negative costs, specified as a cost vector  $c \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^L$ . In both variations (node and edge), even in the special case in which  $G$  is a tree, these problems are NP-hard [Frederickson and Ja'Ja' 1981]. Here, we restrict our attention to this special case and denote the node version as NC-WTAP. For the edge-connectivity version, many approximation algorithms have been designed, with the best approximation guarantees obtained so far being 1.393 for uniform costs by [Cecchetto et al. 2021], and  $1.5 + \varepsilon$  for general costs by [Traub and Zenklusen 2022]. For NC-WTAP, the results are scarcer. For instances with uniform costs, [Nutov 2021] proposed a 1.91-approximation, the first with a better-than-two guarantee; later, [Angelidakis et al. 2023] improved the approximation ratio to 1.892. For general costs the 2-approximation of [Frederickson and Ja'Ja' 1981] is still the best known.

We focus on a special case of NC-WTAP, named here **Up-link NC-WTAP**. For this problem, the input is a quadruple  $(T, L, r, c)$ , where  $T$  is a tree with root  $r$ ,  $L$  is a set of up-links and  $c$  is the cost vector of the up-links in  $L$ . In this setting, a link  $\ell = uv$  in  $L$  is called an *up-link* if  $u$  is an ancestor of  $v$  (that is,  $u$  is contained in the  $vr$ -path in  $T$ ) or  $v$  is an ancestor of  $u$ . (When  $u$  is an ancestor of  $v$ , we also say that  $v$  is a descendant of  $u$ .) The up-link version for edge-connectivity augmentation is defined analogously, and for it, a large body of literature is known [Adjashvili 2017, Traub and Zenklusen 2021, Bamas et al. 2022], but the node-connectivity variant has remained unexplored.

There are many linear formulations for NC-WTAP, see [Grout, Logan 2020]. We present a novel formulation for Up-link NC-WTAP, along with a proof of integrality of

the corresponding polyhedron. Moreover, we present a combinatorial algorithm, which is the new state-of-the-art result in terms of efficiency.

## 2. A linear formulation for Up-link NC-WTAP

First, we present a linear formulation for Up-link NC-WTAP. Let  $(T = (V, E), L, r, c)$  be an instance of this problem. For each  $X, Y \subseteq V$ , define  $\delta_L(X, Y) := \{xy \in L : x \in X, y \in Y\}$ . When  $Y = \overline{X}$ , we simply write  $\delta_L(X)$ . For a graph  $H$ , let  $\Pi(H)$  be the family of non-empty partitions of the connected components of  $H$ . For a partition  $\mathcal{P} \in \Pi(H)$ , let  $|\mathcal{P}|$  be the number of parts (or classes) of  $\mathcal{P}$ . For a part  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , we consider that  $P$  is the set of vertices of the connected components of  $H$  in  $P$ . For each  $v \in V - r$ , let  $v^-$  be the direct ancestor of  $v$  in  $T$ , let  $N^+(v)$  be the set of direct descendants of  $v$ , and let  $T_v$  be the subtree containing  $v$  and its descendants. We may assume that  $r$  has a single direct descendant, denoted by  $r^+$ . The following is a relaxed linear formulation for NC-WTAP, requiring that, after removing any node, the augmented graph contains a spanning tree.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Minimize } \sum_{\ell \in L} c(\ell)x(\ell) && \text{LP}_{\text{NC}}(T, L, r, c) \\ & \text{subject to } \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} x(\delta_L(P)) \geq 2|\mathcal{P}| - 2, \quad \text{for } w \in V \text{ and } \mathcal{P} \in \Pi(T - w), && (1) \\ & x \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For the case of Up-link NC-WTAP, we may simplify the formulation above to:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Minimize } \sum_{\ell \in L} c(\ell)x(\ell) && \text{LP}_{\text{UNC}}(T, L, r, c) \\ & \text{subject to } x(\delta_L(T_v) - \delta_L(v^-)) \geq 1, \quad \text{for } v \in V - \{r, r^+\}, && (2) \\ & x \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Restriction (2) enforces that, when a node is removed, every resulting child subtree is connected to one of its ancestors. In the up-link setting, every constraint of  $\text{LP}_{\text{NC}}(T, L, r, c)$  is satisfied by a solution of  $\text{LP}_{\text{UNC}}(T, L, r, c)$ . Indeed, for each  $v \in V$ , consider a restriction of type (1) arising from a partition  $\{P_1, \dots, P_z\} \in \Pi(T - v)$  with  $T - T_v \subseteq P_1$ . Let  $x$  be a feasible solution of  $\text{LP}_{\text{UNC}}(T, L, r, c)$ . Then, by restriction (2), we have that  $x(\delta_L(P_1, P_i)) \geq 1$  for  $i = 2, \dots, z$ , since there are no links crossing subtrees of child vertices of  $v$ . Hence,  $\sum_{i \in [z]} x(\delta_L(P_i)) \geq 2z - 2$ , and therefore,  $x$  satisfies (1). Moreover, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *For every instance  $(T, L, r, c)$  of Up-link NC-WTAP, the polyhedron associated with  $\text{LP}_{\text{UNC}}(T, L, r, c)$  is integral.*

The proof of Theorem 1 follows the same steps as the proof of an equivalent theorem for edge-connectivity (Lemma 2.1 of [Adjashvili 2017]).

## 3. A fast combinatorial algorithm for Up-link NC-WTAP

We use dynamic programming to solve Up-link NC-WTAP. For each  $v \in V - r$ , define  $\text{DP}(v)$  as the least cost set of links that, when added to  $T$ , ensures that node

$v$ , its ancestors, and its descendants in  $T$ , are in a same component, even after removing any node from  $V(T_{v-})$ . If  $v$  is a leaf node, then  $\text{DP}(v)$  is a minimum cost link incident to  $v$ . For  $uw \in L$ , define  $P_{uv}$  as the path from  $u$  to  $w$  in  $T$ . Define  $L_v := \{uw \in L : u \in V(T_v), w \in V(T - T_{v-})\}$ . In general, the cost of  $\text{DP}(v)$  is given by:

$$c(\text{DP}(v)) := \min_{\ell \in L_v} \{c(\ell) + c(\text{DP}(R_{v,\ell}))\}, \quad (3)$$

where  $R_{v,\ell}$  are the root nodes of  $T_v - P_\ell$  and  $\text{DP}(R_{v,\ell}) = \cup_{w \in R_{v,\ell}} \text{DP}(w)$ . Note that a straightforward approach to solving this recurrence leads to an  $O(|V|^2|L|)$  algorithm. We present an efficient way to compute (3). The algorithm computes the recurrence in a bottom-up approach, where each node is processed before all its ancestors, following a reverse topological sorting of  $(T, r)$ . To handle the recurrence efficiently, we store candidate links in a Fibonacci heap<sup>1</sup> (see Chapter 19 of [Cormen et al. 2009]). For each  $v \in V$ , the cost of using  $\ell \in L_v$  to solve the recurrence for  $v$  is given by

$$b(v, \ell) := c(\ell) + c(\text{DP}(R_{v,\ell})).$$

Furthermore, we introduce  $bh(h, \ell)$  to represent the key within each heap,  $h$  being the index of a heap. Although  $b(v, \ell)$  and  $bh(f(v), \ell)$  may differ, for links  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in H_{f(v)}$  we enforce that  $b(v, \ell_1) - b(v, \ell_2) = bh(f(v), \ell_1) - bh(f(v), \ell_2)$ . Let  $L_v^{in} \subseteq L$  be the set of links whose farthest endpoint from the root is  $v$  and  $L_v^{out} \subseteq L$  be the set of links whose closest endpoint to the root is  $v$ . Define the leaf set of  $T$ , excluding  $r$ , by  $\xi(T)$ .

For each  $v \in \xi(T)$ , we initialize each heap  $H_v$  with the links  $\ell \in L_v^{in}$  with key  $bh(v, \ell) = c(\ell)$ . Therefore, we have that  $c(\text{DP}(v)) = H_v.min()$ . Moreover, we will not create any other heaps, each non-leaf node will be assigned to a heap used by a direct descendant. To achieve that, define a function  $f : V \rightarrow \xi(T) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  which maps each node to its assigned heap (at first,  $f(v) = v$  if  $v \in \xi(T)$ ; and  $f(v) = \emptyset$ , otherwise).

Consider a non-leaf node  $v \in V$ . Since nodes are processed in reverse topological order, all descendant nodes will have been processed when solving for  $v$ . Let  $u \in N^+(v)$  and  $\ell \in H_{f(u)} \cap L_v$ . The cost change of using  $\ell$  to solve the recurrence for  $v$  compared to  $u$  is given by

$$\Delta b(v, \ell) := b(v, \ell) - b(u, \ell) = c(\text{DP}(N^+(v))) - c(\text{DP}(u)),$$

since  $R_{v,\ell} - R_{u,\ell} = N^+(v) - u$ . We avoid updating the cost and copying each link in  $L_v$  to prevent an  $O(|V||L|)$  algorithm. Instead, to improve efficiency, we adopt a strategy commonly denoted by *small to large*, inspired by the analysis of the *disjoint union sets* structure (see Chapter 21 of [Cormen et al. 2009]). As the cost change of the links is uniform within each heap, we introduce a reduced cost  $rc$  for each node so that  $b(v, \ell) = bh(f(v), \ell) + rc(v)$ . For a leaf node  $v \in \xi(T)$ , set  $rc(v) = 0$ . We build  $H_{f(v)}$  as follows:

- i) Let  $u^* \in N^+(v)$  be the direct descendant of  $v$  associated with the largest heap. Assign  $f(v) = f(u^*)$ . Define the reduced cost of  $v$  as

$$rc(v) := rc(u^*) + c(\text{DP}(N^+(v))) - c(\text{DP}(u^*)),$$

which saves us from updating costs of links from  $H_{f(u^*)}$  (*small to large* step).

---

<sup>1</sup>A Fibonacci heap supports the following operations.  $H.insert()$  insert an element in  $O(1)$  time,  $H.min()$  returns the value of the minimum key in  $O(1)$  time,  $H.remove()$  removes an arbitrary element in  $O(\log |H|)$  time, and traverse all elements in  $O(|H|)$  time.

- ii) For  $u \in N^+(v) - u^*$  and  $\ell \in H_{f(u)}$ , update  $\ell$ 's key to move it from  $H_{f(u)}$  to  $H_{f(v)}$ . The change of the key assigned to  $\ell$  is given by

$$\Delta bh(v, \ell) := \Delta b(v, \ell) + rc(u) - rc(v) = rc(u) - rc(u^*) - c(\text{DP}(u)) + c(\text{DP}(u^*)).$$

- iii) Insert the links  $\ell \in L_v^{in}$  in  $H_{f(v)}$  with key  $bh(f(v), \ell) = c(\ell) + c(\text{DP}(N^+(v))) - rc(v)$  and remove the links in  $L_v^{out}$  from  $H_{f(v)}$ .

Thus, we obtain that that  $c(\text{DP}(v)) = H_{f(v)}.min() + rc(v)$  (see Algorithm 1).

Finally, `RevTopologicalSort(T, r)` can be implemented in linear time using a *depth first search* (DFS). Since computing the reduced costs and recovering the optimal value can be done in  $O(|N^+(v)|)$  for each  $v \in V$ , this sums up to a total of  $O(|V|)$  operations. Also, since a link moves to a different heap only if the size of the resulting heap doubles, each link is moved at most  $O(\log |L|)$  times, leading to a total complexity of  $O(|L| \log |L|)$  for moving the links. Hence, the algorithm has a total time complexity of  $O(|V| + |L| \log |L|)$ . It is straightforward to recover the solution by saving the best links at each stage and using a DFS to build the solution. Finally, with little effort, one can adapt the algorithm above for the up-link edge-connectivity tree augmentation problem.

**Algorithm 1:** Algorithm for Up-link NC-WTAP

1. **Input:** An Up-link NC-WTAP instance  $(T = (V, E), r, L, c)$ .
2. **Output:** The cost of an optimal solution.
3.  $rc(v) \leftarrow 0 \quad \forall v \in V$
4.  $f(v) \leftarrow v \quad \forall v \in \xi(T)$
5. **for**  $v$  **in** `RevTopologicalSort(T, r)` **do**
6.      $u^* \leftarrow \arg \max_{u \in N^+(v)} \{|H_{f(u)}|\}$
7.      $f(v) \leftarrow f(u^*)$
8.      $rc(v) \leftarrow rc(u^*) + c(\text{DP}(N^+(v))) - c(\text{DP}(u^*))$
9.     **for**  $u \in N^+(v) - u^*$  **do**
10.         **for**  $\ell \in H_{f(u)}$  **do**
11.              $H_{f(v)}.insert(\ell, bh(f(u), \ell) + rc(u) - rc(u^*) - c(\text{DP}(u)) + c(\text{DP}(u^*)))$
12.     **for**  $\ell \in L_v^{out}$  **do**
13.          $H_{f(v)}.remove(\ell)$
14.     **for**  $\ell \in L_v^{in}$  **do**
15.          $H_{f(v)}.insert(\ell, c(\ell) + c(\text{DP}(N^+(v))) - rc(v))$
16.      $c(\text{DP}(v)) \leftarrow H_{f(v)}.min() + rc(v)$
17. **return**  $c(\text{DP}(r^+))$

## 4. Conclusion

It remains open whether there exists a linear-time algorithm for Up-link NC-WTAP. Another direction is to see whether there are applications analogous to the ones for the Up-link edge-connectivity tree augmentation problem.

## References

- Adjiashvili, D. (2017). Beating approximation factor two for weighted tree augmentation with bounded costs. In *Proceedings of the 28th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2017*, pages 2384–2399. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA.
- Angelidakis, H., Hyatt-Denesik, D., and Sanità, L. (2023). Node connectivity augmentation via iterative randomized rounding. *Math. Program.*, 199(1-2):995–1031.
- Bamas, E., Drygala, M., and Svensson, O. (2022). A simple LP-based approximation algorithm for the matching augmentation problem. In *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Integer Programming and Combinatorial Optimization, IPCO 2022*, volume 13265 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 57–69. Springer, Cham.
- Cecchetto, F., Traub, V., and Zenklusen, R. (2021). Bridging the gap between tree and connectivity augmentation: unified and stronger approaches. In *Proceedings of the 53rd Annual ACM-SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC 2021*, pages 370–383. ACM, New York.
- Cormen, T. H., Leiserson, C. E., Rivest, R. L., and Stein, C. (2009). *Introduction to algorithms*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, third edition.
- Eswaran, K. P. and Tarjan, R. E. (1976). Augmentation problems. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 5(4):653–665.
- Frederickson, G. N. and Ja’Ja’, J. (1981). Approximation algorithms for several graph augmentation problems. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 10(2):270–283.
- Grout, Logan (2020). Augmenting trees to achieve 2-node-connectivity. Master’s thesis, University of Waterloo.
- Nutov, Z. (2021). 2-node-connectivity network design. In *Approximation and online algorithms*, volume 12806 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 220–235. Springer, Cham.
- Traub, V. and Zenklusen, R. (2021). A better-than-2 approximation for Weighted Tree Augmentation. In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, FOCS 2021*, pages 1–12. IEEE, Los Alamitos, CA.
- Traub, V. and Zenklusen, R. (2022). Local search for weighted tree augmentation and Steiner Tree. In *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2022*, pages 3253–3271. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA.