

Vertex partition problems in digraphs *

M. Sambinelli¹, C. N. Lintzmayer², C. N. da Silva³, O. Lee⁴

¹Institute of Mathematics and Statistics – University of São Paulo – Brazil

²Center for Mathematics, Computation and Cognition – Federal University of ABC – Brazil

³Department of Computing – Federal University of São Carlos – Brazil

⁴Institute of Computing – University of Campinas – Brazil

Abstract. Let D be a digraph and k be a positive integer. Linial (1981) conjectured that the k -norm of a k -minimum path partition of a digraph D is at most $\max\{\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} |C| : \mathcal{C} \text{ is a partial } k\text{-coloring of } D\}$. Berge (1982) conjectured that every k -minimum path partition contains a partial k -coloring orthogonal to it. It is well known that Berge's Conjecture implies Linial's Conjecture. In this work, we verify Berge's Conjecture, and consequently Linial's Conjecture, for locally in-semicomplete digraphs and k -minimum path partitions containing only two paths. Moreover, we verify a conjecture related to Berge's and Linial's Conjectures for locally in-semicomplete digraphs.

1. Introduction

Given a digraph D , we denote its vertex set by $V(D)$ and its arc set by $A(D)$. A *path partition* \mathcal{P} of a digraph D is a collection of paths such that $\{V(P) : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a partition of $V(D)$. Given a positive integer k , the k -norm of \mathcal{P} , denoted by $|\mathcal{P}|_k$, is $\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \min\{|V(P)|, k\}$. We say that \mathcal{P} is *k -minimum* if $|\mathcal{P}|_k \leq |\mathcal{P}'|_k$ for every path partition \mathcal{P}' of D , and we denote by $\pi_k(D)$ the k -norm of a k -minimum path partition of D . A *partial k -coloring* \mathcal{C} of D is a collection of stable sets such that \mathcal{C} is a packing of $V(D)$ and $|\mathcal{C}| \leq k$. Let $\alpha_k(D) = \max\{\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} |C| : \mathcal{C} \text{ is a partial } k\text{-coloring of } D\}$. In 1981, Linial [Linial 1981] proposed Conjecture 1 which extends the classical result of Gallai and Milgram (see [Hartman 2006]) that says that the size of a minimum path partition of a digraph is at most its stability number.

Conjecture 1 (Linial, 1981) *If D is a digraph and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $\pi_k(D) \leq \alpha_k(D)$.*

A path partition \mathcal{P} of a digraph D and a partial k -coloring \mathcal{C} of D are *orthogonal* if each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ meets $\min\{|V(P)|, k\}$ stable sets in \mathcal{C} . In an attempt to unify the proof of Gallai and Milgram's result with another classical result in graph theory (see [Berge 1997]), Berge [Berge 1982] proposed Conjecture 2. It is known that this conjecture implies Conjecture 1 (see [Hartman 2006]).

Conjecture 2 (Berge, 1982) *Let D be a digraph and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If \mathcal{P} is a k -minimum path partition of D , then there exists a partial k -coloring of D orthogonal to \mathcal{P} .*

*O. Lee was partially supported by CNPq (Proc. 311373/2015-1) and FAPESP (Proc. 2015/11937-9). C. N. Lintzmayer was supported by FAPESP (Proc. 2016/14132-4). M. Sambinelli was partially supported by CNPq (Proc. 141216/2016-6) and FAPESP (Proc. 2017/23623-4). E-mails: candida@ufscar.br (C. N. da Silva), lee@ic.unicamp.br (O. Lee), carla.negri@ufabc.edu.br (C. N. Lintzmayer), msambinelli@ic.unicamp.br (M. Sambinelli).

Conjectures 1 and 2 remain open, but they were verified for some particular cases. Conjecture 2, and hence Conjecture 1, was verified for $k = 1$ [Linial 1978], $k = 2$ [Berger and Hartman 2008], $k \geq \lambda - 3$ (where λ is the order of a longest path) [Herskovics 2016], when all the paths from the k -minimum path partition have order at most k [Berge 1982] or at least k [Aharoni and Hartman 1993], acyclic digraphs [Aharoni et al. 1985], and bipartite digraphs [Berge 1982]. Moreover, Conjecture 1 was verified for a superclass of split digraphs [Sambinelli et al. 2017].

Let D be a digraph and k be a positive integer. A *path k -pack* \mathcal{P} of D is a collection of paths such that $\{V(P) : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a packing of $V(D)$ and $|\mathcal{P}| \leq k$. The *weight* of \mathcal{P} , denoted by $\|\mathcal{P}\|$, is $\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} |V(P)|$, and we say that \mathcal{P} is *maximum* if $\|\mathcal{P}\| \geq \|\mathcal{P}'\|$ for every path k -pack \mathcal{P}' of D . A *coloring* \mathcal{C} of D is a collection of stable sets such that \mathcal{C} is a partition of $V(D)$. A path k -pack \mathcal{P} and a coloring \mathcal{C} are *orthogonal* if each stable set $C \in \mathcal{C}$ meets $\min\{|C|, k\}$ paths in \mathcal{P} . As a generalization for a conjecture related to Conjecture 1, Aharoni, Hartman, and Hoffman [Aharoni et al. 1985] proposed Conjecture 3 – to understand the relationship among these conjectures, see [Hartman 2006]. Conjecture 3 was verified for $k = 1$ [Gallai 1968], when the maximum path k -pack has at least one trivial path [Hartman et al. 1994], bipartite digraphs [Hartman et al. 1994], and acyclic digraphs [Aharoni et al. 1985].

Conjecture 3 (Aharoni, Hartman, and Hoffman, 1985) *Let D be a digraph and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If \mathcal{P} is a maximum path k -pack of D , then there is a coloring of D orthogonal to \mathcal{P} .*

A digraph D is *semicomplete* if $V(D)$ is a clique, and it is *in-semicomplete* if, for every vertex $v \in V(D)$, the set $\{u : uv \in A(D)\}$ is a clique. Note that out-trees, cycles, and semicomplete digraphs are all in-semicomplete digraphs. In-semicomplete digraphs have been well studied in literature [Guo and Volkmann 1994, Bang-Jensen et al. 1997] and have been used as a particular case to confirm open conjectures on digraphs [Bang-Jensen et al. 2006, Galeana-Sánchez and Gómez 2008]. The contributions of this work are the following theorems.

Theorem 1 *If \mathcal{P} is a k -minimal path partition of an in-semicomplete digraph D , then there exists a partial k -coloring of D orthogonal to \mathcal{P} .*

Theorem 2 *If \mathcal{P} is a maximum path k -pack of an in-semicomplete digraph D , then there exists a coloring of D orthogonal to \mathcal{P} .*

Theorem 3 *Let D be a digraph and let k be a positive integer. If $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2\}$ is a k -minimum path partition of D , then there exists a partial k -coloring orthogonal to \mathcal{P} .*

Theorems 1 and 3 confirm, respectively, Conjecture 2 for in-semicomplete digraphs and for k -minimum path partitions containing only two paths. Theorem 2 confirms Conjecture 3 for in-semicomplete digraphs.

2. Brief outline of the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

Our proofs for both theorems use induction and follow similar ideas. One important structure that they rely on is the following characterization of in-semicomplete digraphs [Bang-Jensen and Gutin 2009]. Given a collection of paths \mathcal{P} , the set of terminal vertices of paths in \mathcal{P} is denote by $\text{ter}(\mathcal{P})$, i.e., $\text{ter}(\mathcal{P}) = \{v_\ell : v_1 v_2 \cdots v_\ell = P \in \mathcal{P}\}$.

Theorem 4 (Bang-Jensen and Gutin, 2009) *A digraph D is in-semicomplete if, and only if, for every vertex v and every pair of internally vertex-disjoint paths P and Q ending at v , there exists a path R ending at v such that $V(R) = V(P) \cup V(Q)$.*

2.1. Theorem 1

Let D be an in-semicomplete digraph, let k be a positive integer, and let \mathcal{P} be a path partition of D . Our proof consists in showing that (i) there exists a partial k -coloring of D orthogonal to \mathcal{P} ; or (ii) there exists a path partition \mathcal{B} of D such that $|\mathcal{B}|_k < |\mathcal{P}|_k$ and $\text{ter}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \text{ter}(\mathcal{P})$. We start our proof by showing that if (ii) does not hold, then there exists a path partition \mathcal{Q} such that $|\mathcal{Q}|_k = |\mathcal{P}|_k$, $\text{ter}(\mathcal{Q}) \subseteq \text{ter}(\mathcal{P})$, $\text{ter}(\mathcal{Q})$ is stable, and every partial k -coloring orthogonal to \mathcal{Q} is also orthogonal to \mathcal{P} . Our proof for such result follows by induction on the number of paths in \mathcal{P} with order smaller than k . This reduces the problem of proving the result for \mathcal{P} to the problem of proving it for \mathcal{Q} . The remaining proof follows by induction of k . If $k = 1$, then the stable set $\text{ter}(\mathcal{Q})$ is a partial 1-coloring orthogonal to \mathcal{Q} , and to \mathcal{P} , and the result follows. Otherwise, $k > 1$ and let $D' = D - \text{ter}(\mathcal{Q})$ and $\mathcal{Q}' = \{Q_1 : Q_1 u = Q \in \mathcal{Q}\}$. Note that D' is an in-semicomplete digraph and that \mathcal{Q}' is a path partition of D' . By the induction hypothesis applied to D' , \mathcal{Q}' , and $k - 1$, there exists (a) a partial $(k - 1)$ -coloring \mathcal{C} of D' orthogonal to \mathcal{Q}' , or (b) a path partition \mathcal{R}' of D' such that $|\mathcal{R}'|_{k-1} < |\mathcal{Q}'|_{k-1}$ and $\text{ter}(\mathcal{R}') \subseteq \text{ter}(\mathcal{Q}')$. If (a) holds, then $\mathcal{C} \cup \{\text{ter}(\mathcal{Q})\}$ is a partial k -coloring orthogonal to \mathcal{Q} and (i) holds. So we assume that (b) holds and, with the help of Theorem 4, we show how to build a path partition of D satisfying (ii) from \mathcal{Q}' .

2.2. Theorem 2

Let D be an in-semicomplete digraph, let k be a positive integer, and let \mathcal{P} be a path k -pack of D . Our proof consists in showing that (i) there exists a coloring of D orthogonal to \mathcal{P} , or (ii) there exists a path k -pack \mathcal{B} of D such that $\|\mathcal{B}\| = \|\mathcal{P}\| + 1$ and $\text{ter}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \text{ter}(\mathcal{P}) \cup \bar{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$, where $\bar{V}_{\mathcal{P}} = V(D) \setminus \cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} V(P)$. Our proof follows by induction on $|\bar{V}_{\mathcal{P}}|$. If $\bar{V}_{\mathcal{P}} = \emptyset$, then the coloring $\{\{v\} : v \in V(D)\}$ is orthogonal to \mathcal{P} and (i) holds. Thus, we may assume $\bar{V}_{\mathcal{P}} \neq \emptyset$. Let w be a vertex in $\bar{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and let $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P} \cup \{w\}$. If $|\mathcal{Q}| \leq k$, then $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{Q}$ satisfies (ii) and the result follows. Thus we may assume that $|\mathcal{Q}| > k$, and, in this case, $|\mathcal{Q}| = k + 1$ and $|\mathcal{P}| = k$, since $|\mathcal{P}| \leq k$. Let $S \subseteq \bar{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ be a maximum stable set in $D[\bar{V}_{\mathcal{P}}]$ and let $Z = \text{ter}(\mathcal{P}) \cup S$. We can prove that Z is a stable set of D . Let $D' = D - Z$, and let $\mathcal{P}' = \{P' : P' u = P \in \mathcal{P}\}$. Note that D' is an in-semicomplete digraph, \mathcal{P}' is a path k -pack of D' , $\|\mathcal{P}'\| = \|\mathcal{P}\| - k$, and $\bar{V}_{\mathcal{P}'} \subseteq \bar{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$. By the induction hypothesis applied to D' and \mathcal{P}' we have (a) there exists a coloring \mathcal{C} of D' orthogonal to \mathcal{P}' , or (b) there exists a path k -pack \mathcal{Q}' of D' such that $\|\mathcal{Q}'\| = \|\mathcal{P}'\| + 1$ and $\text{ter}(\mathcal{Q}') \subseteq \text{ter}(\mathcal{P}') \cup \bar{V}_{\mathcal{P}'}$. If (a) holds, then $\mathcal{C} \cup \{Z\}$ is a coloring of D orthogonal to \mathcal{P} and (i) holds. So we assume that (b) holds and, with the help of Theorem 4 and Hall's theorem, we show how to extend the path k -pack \mathcal{Q}' to a path k -pack of D that satisfies (ii).

3. Brief outline of the proof of Theorem 3

Let D be a digraph, let k be a positive integer, and let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2\}$ be a k -minimum path partition of D . Let $P_1 = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_\ell$ and let $P_2 = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_r$. If either $\ell, r \leq k$ or $\ell, r \geq k$, then there exists a partial k -coloring orthogonal to \mathcal{P} and the result follows [Berge 1982, Aharoni and Hartman 1993]. Thus we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\ell > k$ and $r < k$, and hence $|\mathcal{P}|_k = k + r$. We can prove that P_1 is a longest path of D . Let $X = V(P_2)$, $Y = V(P_1)$, and G be the $\{X, Y\}$ bipartite graph defined by $V(G) = X \cup Y$ and $E(G) = \{uv : u \in X, v \in Y, \text{ and } u \text{ and } v \text{ are not adjacent in } D\}$. Using Hall's theorem, we can show that there exists a matching M in G covering the vertices of X ,

otherwise P_1 would not be a longest path in D . Given a vertex $v \in X$, we write $M(v)$ to denote the vertex in $Y = V(P_1)$ matched to v in M . By the construction of G , v and $M(v)$ are non-adjacent. Let $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{\{u, M(u)\} : u \in X\}$, and let \mathcal{S}_2 be a set of $k - r$ vertices of P_1 not matched by M . Thus, $\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \{\{u\} : u \in \mathcal{S}_2\}$ is a partial k -coloring orthogonal to \mathcal{P} .

References

- Aharoni, R. and Hartman, I. B.-A. (1993). On Greene-Kleitman's theorem for general digraphs. *Discrete Math.*, 120(1-3):13–24.
- Aharoni, R., Hartman, I. B.-A., and Hoffman, A. J. (1985). Path partitions and packs of acyclic digraphs. *Pacific J. Math.*, 118(2):249–259.
- Bang-Jensen, J. and Gutin, G. (2009). *Digraphs: Theory, algorithms and applications*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2nd edition.
- Bang-Jensen, J. r., Guo, Y., Gutin, G., and Volkmann, L. (1997). A classification of locally semicomplete digraphs. *Discrete Math.*, 167/168:101–114. 15th British Combinatorial Conference (Stirling, 1995).
- Bang-Jensen, J. r., Nielsen, M. H., and Yeo, A. (2006). Longest path partitions in generalizations of tournaments. *Discrete Math.*, 306(16):1830–1839.
- Berge, C. (1982). k -optimal partitions of a directed graph. *European J. Combin.*, 3(2):97–101.
- Berge, C. (1997). Motivations and history of some of my conjectures. *Discrete Math.*, 165/166:61–70. Graphs and combinatorics (Marseille, 1995).
- Berger, E. and Hartman, I. B.-A. (2008). Proof of Berge's strong path partition conjecture for $k = 2$. *European J. Combin.*, 29(1):179–192.
- Galeana-Sánchez, H. and Gómez, R. (2008). Independent sets and non-augmentable paths in generalizations of tournaments. *Discrete Math.*, 308(12):2460–2472.
- Gallai, T. (1968). On directed paths and circuits. In *Theory of Graphs (Proc. Colloq., Tihany, 1966)*, pages 115–118. Academic Press, New York.
- Guo, Y. and Volkmann, L. (1994). Connectivity properties of locally semicomplete digraphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 18(3):269–280.
- Hartman, I. B.-A. (2006). Berge's conjecture on directed path partitions—a survey. *Discrete Math.*, 306(19-20):2498–2514.
- Hartman, I. B.-A., Saleh, F., and Hershkowitz, D. (1994). On Greene's theorem for digraphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 18(2):169–175.
- Herskovics, D. (2016). Proof of Berge's path partition conjecture for $k \geq \lambda - 3$. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 209:137–143.
- Linial, N. (1978). Covering digraphs by paths. *Discrete Math.*, 23(3):257–272.
- Linial, N. (1981). Extending the Greene-Kleitman theorem to directed graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 30(3):331–334.
- Sambinelli, M., Nunes da Silva, C., and Lee, O. (2017). On Linial's conjecture for spine digraphs. *Discrete Math.*, 340(5):851–854.