

Explaining Communication in Distributed Software Development Teams: A Research Proposal for Constructing a New Theory

Nelson G. de Sá
Leitão Júnior
Informatics Center (CIn),
UFPE University
Av. Jornalista Anibal
Fernandes, s/n, Cid. Univ.,
50740-560, Recife, Brazil
+ 55 81 2126-8430
ngslj@cin.ufpe.br

Ivadir H. de
Farias Junior
Informatics Center (CIn),
UFPE University
Av. Jornalista Anibal
Fernandes, s/n, Cid. Univ.,
50740-560, Recife, Brazil
+ 55 81 2126-8430
ihfj@cin.ufpe.br

Hermano P. de
Moura
Informatics Center (CIn),
UFPE University
Av. Jornalista Anibal
Fernandes, s/n, Cid. Univ.,
50740-560, Recife, Brazil
+ 55 81 2126-8430
hermano@cin.ufpe.br

ABSTRACT

Context: Communication plays an essential role in the professional software development, as it stands as one of the pillars of this collaborative activity. Communication is also one of the leading challenges in Distributed Software Development (DSD), in which aspects such as geographical, cultural and temporal distances may hinder the communicative process. But in spite of extensive literature in DSD area, little was theorized about explaining communication in this context. **Objective:** This work aims to present a doctoral research proposal for explaining communication in DSD teams by proposing a new communication theory. **Method:** A Grounded Theory (GT) research as our primary methodological choice. **Results:** We present the preliminary results of a non-extensive literature review. **Conclusion:** We preliminarily conclude that a new, and specific communication theory in DSD may have its place in literature.

CCS Concepts

•Social and professional topics → Project and people management; Project management techniques; Project staffing; *Systems planning*;

Keywords

Communication, Distributed Software Development, Project Management

1. INTRODUCTION

Software engineering is a collaborative activity [27], which consumes the knowledge, expertise, and experience of a group of individuals [27]. The 3C model which was proposed in the

light of the seminal work of Ellis et al. [16], characterize collaboration in three integrated dimensions, identified as communication, cooperation, and coordination respectively [34]. Thus, as stated by Layzell et al. [27], the lack of these dimensions in software development projects may lead to reduced technical quality. In this context, communication takes its place in this research proposal, for being an essential aspect of collaboration [41], and for belonging to the central spot of almost all collaboration practices and processes [31].

Effective Communication is one of the main issues in DSD [5, 23, 40] and in Global Software Development (GSD) [3]. Several organizations adopt GSD with the odds of its benefits, but also with disadvantages such as communication challenges, that often impose risks for the implementation of development projects and affect the software process quality [43]. In DSD the frequency of communication is low when compared with co-located development [23]. DSD also comes with a limitation on face-to-face and informal meetings, and, as stated by Oshri [30], as the lack of these factors in DSD poses a challenge in creating and sustaining social ties between its members. But despite the importance of communication in DSD and the substantial number of studies in this area, little was theorized in the literature about how communication occurs in this specific context.

This work aims to present a doctoral research proposal for explaining the phenomena of communication in DSD teams by proposing a new Communication Theory, which will differ from existing ones by its specific nature, that is, by explaining communication specifically in DSD teams. Thus, bringing benefits to the academia, by establishing a theoretical base for future studies in communication processes, models, methods or frameworks to support better communication results in DSD. We also intend to use this work to stimulate discussions about its relevance, with both Information Systems and Software Engineering research communities.

2. BACKGROUND

DSD is a business model in which software is developed by a geographically distributed team, whose distribution may be established in different dispersion levels, e.g., between cities, countries or continents. We present a brief overview of the state of DSD literature and communication aspects.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

SBSI 2018 June 4th – 8th, 2018, Caxias do Sul, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
Copyright SBC 2017.

2.1 DSD Literature

As stated by Prikladnicki et al. [36], it is possible to find registers of DSD projects since the 1990s, and so forth, DSD literature had the support of relevant researchers, who I may cite: Hawryszkiewicz and Gorton [21]: which was one of the first research works focused in DSD. Carmel [5]: with his high relevance book in DSD area, named “Global Software Teams.” Karolak [26]: With his book that discussed motivation and challenges in DSD. Aoyama [2]: which presented a new software process model that encompassed distributed multi-site processes in the 20th International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE). The next decade, the 2000s, were marked by significant DSD conferences, workshops, and works, such as the first specific edition about DSD in a journal, which was edited and published in the IEEE Software [22]. The first edition of the Workshop on Global Software Development on the ICSE. The relevant works of the Brazilian researchers Prikladnicki and Audy [35, 36]. And in years 2010, due to the relevance of the contributions of Brazilians on the academic community and industry at the global level, in 2012, an edition of the International Conference on Global Software Engineering (ICGSE) was held again in Brazil [11].

2.2 Communication in DSD

Frequent communication is expected in any software development project, mostly in the beginning, when team members need to establish a common ground [33]. As such, even though often neglected, the communication management is one of the most critical areas in project management [7]. In DSD, communication plays a more significant role in the success of projects of this nature than in co-located development [17]. DSD largely depends on communication among those involved in the project, either directly or indirectly. In this context, the means of communication have a significant influence in the projects in distributed environments [10], as challenges associated with communication increase when the media chose to support distributed teams are not as rich as what face-to-face communication offer [22]. For instance, facial expressions and gestures also contribute to the communication of the message thus, the level of interaction that the communication means provides can affect the quality of a DSD project [17].

3. RESEARCH METHOD

Next, we present the methodological frame in which we plan to perform this research.

3.1 Research question

Based on the presented context, we get to the research question as follows: **(RQ1) How explain communication in distributed software development teams by proposing a new communication theory?**

3.2 Methodological framework

This research proposal comes from a Constructivist epistemology, i.e., a philosophy that considers the knowledge as a result of social construction, the truth relative to its context, the interpretation of theoretical terms, a tendency for qualitative methods, and a tendency for proposing local theories [15]. And considering that the primary goal of this research is the proposal of a new theory, we propose using the Grounded Theory (GT) method, because of being a research method oriented for generation of theories, based on

a rigorous analysis of data [20]. The use of GT is appropriate when there is a lack of knowledge or theory of a topic [19, 39] and when no existing theories offer solutions [8].

The GT method was proposed in 1967 by the sociologists Barney Glaser and Anselm Strauss [19], and after its publication, this methodology became one of the most popular approaches to qualitative research [4]. By the early 1990s, the GT method evolved in two distinct thought schools, the Glaserian GT by Glaser, and the Straussian GT by Strauss and Juliet Corbin. These schools were followed by the works of researchers from the second generation of GT schools, which included the Constructivist Grounded Theory (CGT), Dimensional Analysis, and Situational Analysis [37]. Among these researchers, and still in the path of the evolution of the GT method, Kathy Charmaz proposed a new CGT approach in the mid-1990s. This proposal was based on the original ideas from Glaser and Strauss [4], that when compared to its original form, this new one was presented as a constructivist focused GT proposal [6, 28]. Although there are differences between the GT proposals from Charmaz, Glaser, and others, these methods are not much different in their approaches, but rather in their overarching goals and perspectives of the nature of reality, which suggests that researchers should consider their worldview when choosing a GT method [24]. Therefore, in the light of the constructivist orientation of our previous works, we chose the school of Charmaz as our primary reference, but without giving up on Glaserian GT practices or directives [19], such as preferring axial coding and delaying an extensive literature review in the research process.

3.3 Research design

We propose performing this research in three sequential steps, as follows.

3.3.1 Consolidation of the study gap

One of the most problematic issues in GT is to decide when existing literature should be used during the research process, especially for Ph.D. students [14]. Glaser advocates the delaying of a literature review, along with authors such as Nathaniel [29] and Holton [25], which are resolute in their purist view that GT requires the researcher to enter in the research field without any preconceived problem statement, interview protocols or extensive literature reviews [14]. Charmaz [6] states that the intended purpose of delaying the literature review is to avoid importing preconceived ideas and imposing them on a GT research, and that this approach would be fine in principle, but in practice, could result in “rehashing old empirical problems and dismissing the literature.” In this context, we propose the usage of preexisting literature in an approach such as performed by Adolf [1] in this GT research. That is, a **first, and non-extensive literature review** (Ad-hoc, in our proposal) to frame this study proposal as a relevant one and confirm the absence of a preexisting theory, and leaving an extensive literature review for a later step when the new theory had emerged.

3.3.2 Theory construction

We propose using the Grounded Theory (GT) method for constructing the new theory. We will begin with the proposed research question (Section 3.1). Followed by data collection and both initial and focused coding and the proposal

of categories, i.e., a “conceptual element in a theory” that usually are derivations from focused codes. All coding activities will be based on fresh data; thus, as stated by Charmaz [6]: “Grounded theorists often embark on their research journeys with plans to interview people, whose experiences can illuminate the topic they wish to study.” We propose using intensive interviews as our data collection technique. In parallel with the entire process, we will perform the practice of memo writing and axial coding. The proposed theory will emerge from the spiral process of sorting, diagramming and integrating memos, until the saturation of theoretical saturation, i.e., when fresh data no longer sparks theoretical insights. Later, after the emergence of the new theory, but still in this research step, we propose performing a Systematic Mapping Study (SMS) to, as stated by Adolph [1]: “compare the emerging substantive theory with extant theory and situate it within the known theoretical landscape.” Finally, we propose a set of focus groups to discuss the emerged theory and the results from SMS with DSD experts. Hence, characterizing a triangulated method approach, which enhances the strength of qualitative studies [32].

3.3.3 Theory evaluation

Charmaz [6] states that the line between process and product becomes blurred for our audiences, as other scholars will likely judge the GT process as an integral part of the product, and that grounded theorists should consider their audiences, being they teachers or colleagues, as they will judge the final product. Such as performed by Dorairaj [13], we propose evaluating the new theory by reflecting the research activities that we will perform (e.g., data sampling strategy, selection of the participants, interview approaches, among others) with a set of selected criteria. Also, by collecting feedback from DSD research community, by exposing our results and discussing our findings. We propose the using the criteria proposed by Charmaz [6]: *Credibility, Originality, Resonance and Usefulness*. And the criteria proposed by Glaser [18], as follows: *Fit, Work, Relevance and Modifiability*.

4. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

To confirm the absence of a theory that explains the phenomena of communication in DSD teams, and to help with the establishment of the basis for stating this study as a relevant one, we started the first step of this research, a non-extensive (Ad-hoc) literature review. To achieve this goal, we plan to use the knowledge bases IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library and Google Scholar. For now, as partial results, we present the outcomes of our search in IEEE Xplore database. We performed this search on March 4th, 2018 in both Title and Abstract fields of published works. We used the search string as follows: (S1): “**theory**” AND (“**communication**” OR “**communicative**”) AND (“**dsd**” OR “**distributed software development**” OR “**gsd**” OR “**global software development**”).

We adapted the search string (S1) to the required syntax of the search engine, but still, maintaining the same semantics. After performing the search, we read the full text from the retrieved studies. Then we tried to identify their relevance for our purposes, according to the inclusion criterion as follows: (IC1): **Primary studies on the phenomena of communication in DSD which propose a new theory in this context or which refers existing com-**

munication theories as part of their theoretical basis, methodological approach or research goals, and with the exclusion criteria as follows: (EC1): **Non-English language papers** and (EC2): **Not available for free**. The search in IEEE Xplore resulted in 13 studies, of which nine were selected.

Four of the selected studies stated the usage communication Theories as part of their theoretical basis or as means for sustaining their results, including the **Media Richness** [9], the **Media Synchronicity** [12] and the **Media Switching** [38] theories. Two studies cited the usage of the **Social Network Theory** [42]. One study stated the usage of GT to investigate key concerns of distributed teams in Agile software development, and another study also used GT, but now to describe how Agile teams gather, store, share and use knowledge in DSD. Finally, one study stated the usage of a content analysis technique that captures communication behavior associated with teams in virtual environments. Further details are available at <https://goo.gl/N8PTHn>.

5. FINAL REMARKS

Based on the presented partial findings, we conclude that none of the identified studies were focused on explaining the communication process in DSD, or to propose a new theory in this specific context. These studies approached their respective research goals with the help of non-DSD specific communication theories, and mostly, only addressing the media aspect. Thus, we believe that a new, and specific theory to explain the communication in DSD may have its place in literature. This research is ongoing, to be qualified in Q1 2019, and to be defended in Q3 2020, as an estimate. Future works include completing the first research step and prospect DSD organizations for data collection.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] S. Adolph, P. Kruchten, and W. Hall. Reconciling perspectives: A grounded theory of how people manage the process of software development. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 85(6):1269–1286, 2012.
- [2] M. Aoyama. Agile software process and its experience. In *20th International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE)*, pages 3–12, Kyoto, Japan, 1998. IEEE Computer Society.
- [3] G. N. Aranda, A. Vizcaíno, and M. Piattini. Analyzing and Evaluating the Main Factors that Challenge Global Software Development. *The Open Software Engineering Journal*, 4:14–25, 2010.
- [4] M. Birks and J. Mills. *Essentials of grounded theory*. Sage London, 2011.
- [5] E. Carmel. *Global Software Teams: Collaborating Across Borders and Time Zones*. Prentice Hall, 1999.
- [6] K. Charmaz. *Constructing Grounded Theory*. SAGE Publications Ltd, Rohnert Park, USA, 2 edition, 2014.
- [7] E. Chaves. *Gerenciamento de Comunicação em Projetos*. FGV, Rio de Janeiro, 1 edition, 2006.
- [8] W. C. Chenitz and J. M. Swanson. *From practice to grounded theory: Qualitative research in nursing*. Addison-Wesley, Menlo Park, CA, 1986.
- [9] R. L. Daft and R. H. Lengel. Organizational information requirements, media richness and structural design. *Management science*, 32(5):554–571, 1986.

- [10] I. de Farias Junior, S. Marczak, R. Santos, and H. Moura. Communication in Distributed Software Development: A Preliminary Maturity Model. In *IEEE 11th International Conference on Global Software Engineering (ICGSE)*, pages 164–173, California, USA, 2016. IEEE.
- [11] I. H. de Farias Junior, L. Duarte, J. P. N. D. Oliveira, A. R. Dantas, J. F. Barbosa, and H. P. D. Moura. Motivational Factors for Distributed Software Development Teams. In *International Conference on Global Software Engineering Workshops*, pages 49–54, Porto Alegre, aug 2012. IEEE.
- [12] A. Dennis and J. Valacich. Rethinking media richness: towards a theory of media synchronicity. In *Proceedings of the 32nd Annual Hawaii International Conference on Systems Sciences. 1999. HICSS-32. Abstracts and CD-ROM of Full Papers*, volume 00, page 10, Maui, 1999. IEEE Comput. Soc.
- [13] S. Dorairaj. *The Theory of One Team: Agile Software Development with Distributed Teams*. PhD thesis, Victoria University of Wellington, 2013.
- [14] C. Dunne. The place of the literature review in grounded theory research. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 14(2):111–124, 2011.
- [15] S. Easterbrook and B. Neves. Seminar 2 : Epistemology & Ethics Myths about Science (I) Myths about Science (II), 2007.
- [16] C. Ellis, S. Gibbs, and G. Rein. Groupware: Some Issues And Experiences. *CACM*, 34(1), 1991.
- [17] I. H. D. Farias Junior. *C2M - A Communication Maturity Model for Distributed Software Development*. Doctoral dissertation, Informatics Center (CIn), UFPE University, 2014.
- [18] B. G. Glaser. *Theoretical Sensitivity: Advances in the Methodology of Grounded Theory*. The Sociology Press, San Francisco, 1 edition, 1978.
- [19] B. G. Glaser and A. L. Strauss. *The Discovery of Grounded Theory: Strategies for Qualitative Research*. Transaction publishers, London, UK, 1th edition, 1967.
- [20] B. G. Glaser and A. L. Strauss. *The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research*. Transaction publishers, London, UK, 7th edition, 2009.
- [21] I. Hawryszkiewicz and I. Gorton. Distributing the software process. In *Australian Software Engineering Conference*, pages 176–182, Camberra, Australia, 1996. IEEE Computer Society.
- [22] J. Herbsleb, J. D. Herbsleb, D. Moitra, and D. Moitra. Global Software Development. *IEEE software*, 18(4):16–20, 2001.
- [23] J. Herbsleb, D. Paulish, and M. Bass. Global Software Development at Siemens: Experience From Nine Projects. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Engineering*, pages 524–533, Missouri, United States, 2005. IEEE.
- [24] G. Higginbottom and E. I. Lauridsen. The roots and development of constructivist grounded theory. *Nurse Researcher*, 21(5):8–13, 2014.
- [25] J. A. Holton. The Coding Process and Its Challenges. *The SAGE Handbook of Grounded Theory*, pages 265–289, 2007.
- [26] D. W. Karolak. *Global software development: managing virtual teams and environments*. IEEE Computer Society Press, 1999.
- [27] P. Layzell, O. P. Brereton, and A. French. Supporting collaboration in distributed software engineering teams. *Proceedings - Asia-Pacific Software Engineering Conference, APSEC*, 2000-Janua:38–45, 2000.
- [28] J. M. Morse, P. Noerager, S. Juliet, B. Bowers, K. Charmaz, and A. E. Clarke. *Developing Grounded Theory: The Second Generation*. Routledge, New York, USA, 2016.
- [29] A. Nathaniel. Thoughts on the literature review and GT. *Grounded Theory Review*, 5(2/3):35–41, 2006.
- [30] I. Oshri, J. Kotlarsky, and L. Willcocks. Missing Links: Critical Social Ties For Collaborative Teamwork. *CACM*, 51:76–81, 2008.
- [31] M. Paasivaara and C. Lassenius. Collaboration practices in global inter-organizational software development projects. *Software Process Improvement and Practice*, 8(4):183–199, 2003.
- [32] M. Q. Patton. *Qualitative evaluation and research methods*. Sage publications, California, USA, 2 edition, 1990.
- [33] D. E. Perry, N. a. Staudenmeyer, and L. G. Votta. People, organizations, and process improvement. *IEEE Software*, 11(4):36–45, 1994.
- [34] M. Pimentel and H. Fuks. *Sistemas Colaborativos*. 2012.
- [35] R. Prikladnicki and J. L. N. Audy. MuNDDoS: Um Modelo de Referência para Desenvolvimento Distribuído de Software. In *XVII Simpósio Brasileiro de Engenharia de Software (SBES)*, Brasília, Brazil, 2004. Sociedade Brasileira de Computação.
- [36] R. Prikladnicki and J. L. N. Audy. Uma Análise Comparativa de práticas de Desenvolvimento Distribuído de Software no Brasil e no exterior. In *XX Simpósio Brasileiro de Engenharia de Software*, pages 255–270, Florianópolis, Brazil, 2006. Sociedade Brasileira de Computação.
- [37] L. Richards and J. M. Morse. *Readme first for a user's guide to qualitative methods*. Sage, 2012.
- [38] L. P. Robert and A. R. Dennis. Paradox of richness: A cognitive model of media choice. *IEEE transactions on professional communication*, 48(1):10–21, 2005.
- [39] R. S. Schreiber and P. N. Stern. *Using grounded theory in nursing*. Springer Publishing Company, New York, USA, 2001.
- [40] Y. H. Shah, M. Raza, and S. Ulhaq. Communication Issues in GSD. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 40:69–76, 2012.
- [41] D. H. Sonnenwald. Communication roles that support collaboration during the design process. *Design Studies*, 17(3):277–301, 1996.
- [42] J. Travers and S. Milgram. The small world problem. *Psychology Today*, 1(1):61 – 67, 1967.
- [43] S. ul Haq, M. Raza, A. Zia, and M. Khan. Issues in Global Software Development: A Critical Review. *Journal of Software Engineering and Applications*, 2(2):660–663, 2016.