

## Integrating support verb constructions into a parser

Amanda Rassi<sup>1,2</sup>, Jorge Baptista<sup>2,3</sup>, Nuno Mamede<sup>3</sup>, Oto Vale<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centro de Ciências Humanas – Universidade Federal de São Carlos (UFSCar)  
Caixa Postal 676 – São Carlos – SP – Brazil – 13.565-905

<sup>2</sup>Faculdade de Ciências Humanas e Sociais – Universidade do Algarve (UALg)  
Campus Gambelas – Faro – Portugal – 8005-139

<sup>3</sup>Instituto de Engenharia de Sistemas e Computadores (INESC-ID Lisboa/L2F)  
Lisboa – Portugal – 1000-029

<sup>4</sup>Cental – Université Catholique de Louvain  
Louvain-la-Neuve – Belgium – B-1348

amandarassi85@gmail.com, jrbaptis@ualg.pt

nuno.mamede@inesc-id.pt, otovale@ufscar.br

**Abstract.** *This paper describes the process of integrating into a rule-based parser a set of approximately 1,000 nominal predicates forming support verb constructions (SVC) with the verb dar ‘give’ in Brazilian Portuguese. The system was evaluated on a sample of 580 sentences containing verb-noun combinations candidates to SVC, manually and independently annotated. Best results yield 85% precision, 79% recall, 76% accuracy and 82% F-measure.*

### 1. Introduction

Support verb constructions (SVC) [Gross 1981] pose a challenge to Natural Language Processing (NLP) because they are superficially alike verbal predicates (cp. *John gave a kiss to Mary* vs. *John gave a book to Mary*), but semantically they present a special configuration since the predicate is actually expressed by the predicative noun (*kiss*) instead of the verb (*give*). This entails a set of SVC-specific properties that distinguish them from ordinary (distributional) verbal constructions (e.g. *John gave my \*kiss/book to Mary, John’s kiss/\*book to Mary*). From the perspective of identifying the meaning units of texts, SVC are a combination of verb and noun corresponding to a single semantic unit, although syntactically analysable. In this sense, they do not form a compound word, but a special type of collocation [Mel’cuk 1997], where the verb functions as an auxiliary of the noun, conveying the grammatical values of person-number and tense.

This paper briefly presents the formalization of the linguistic properties of 1,000 SVC constructions with verb *dar* ‘give’ in Portuguese, under the Lexicon-Grammar (LG) framework [Gross 1981]; it sketches the integration of the data into the rule-based parser XIP – Xerox Incremental Parser [Mokhtar et al. 2002], through an automatic process for generating, directly from a Lexicon-Grammar matrix, the dependency extraction rules, which are then integrated into a fully-fledged NLP system built for Portuguese – the STRING system [Mamede et al. 2012]<sup>1</sup>; and, finally, it evaluates the performance of the system, by comparing it with a golden standard of a manually and independently annotated corpus of 580 SVC candidate sentences [Rassi et al. 2015].

<sup>1</sup>For more information on XIP and STRING: [string.l2f.inesc-id.pt](mailto:string.l2f.inesc-id.pt)

## 2. Related work

Most studies on *SVC* aim at the detection, identification, and extraction from corpora, based only in linguistic information, such as the degree of compositionality of the *SVC*; or only in statistical information, such as association measures on co-occurrence distribution; or, else, hybrid approaches using both linguistic and statistical information [Stevenson et al. 2004], [Tan et al. 2006], [Wang and Ikeda 2008]. Hybrid methods for identification of *MWE* are, nowadays, the most commonly used [Tu and Roth 2011], [Gurrutxaga and Alegria 2011].

In order to parse *SVC* in texts, two main approaches can be adopted: (i) considering *SVC* as a whole block, whose constituents are relatively fixed and treated as a subtype of multiword expressions (*MWE*), such as compound words and many types of idioms (see [Calzolari et al. 2002], [Sag et al. 2002], [Fazly and Stevenson 2007], [de Cruys and Moirón 2007], [Diab and Bhutada 2009], among others); and (ii) an approach that, in spite of some specific syntactic-semantic properties, considers that *SVC* do have syntactic structure and follow the same constituency rules as the general grammar of the language, systematically admitting several lexically determined syntactic transformations (alternative wordings), *e.g.* passive, clefting *etc.* To the best of our knowledge, no study reports any attempt to integrated *SVC* into a parser, under this second perspective.

Portuguese *SVC* constructions have been intensively studied since the late 80's, and extensive lexicon-grammars of *SVC* (over 10 thousand predicative nouns) for both the European (EP) and the Brazilian (BP) variety of Portuguese have been produced, including the *SVC* with support verb *dar* 'give' [Baptista 1997, Rassi et al. 2014b]. For lack of space, see [Rassi et al. 2014a] for a recent overview.

## 3. Integration of *SVC* in XIP parser

Firstly, about 1,000 *SVC* with the verb *dar* 'give' in EP and BP were formalized into a Lexicon-Grammar matrix, where the lines correspond to the lexical entries (predicative nouns) and the columns indicate linguistic properties. In this matrix, the linguistic properties encoded are: (i) formal properties, such as the number of arguments, sub-clausal arguments, prepositions introducing the complements and type of determinant of the predicative noun; (ii) distributional properties, such as the semantic type of arguments (human or non-human nouns, locative complements, *etc.*) and the arguments' semantic roles (<agent>, <patient>, *etc.*); the main support verbs specific to each predicative noun are also explicitly encoded; and (iii) transformational properties, such as *Passive*, *Symmetry*, *Conversion* (see below). Secondly, the original lexicon was enriched with the predicative nouns built with suffix *-ada* '-ed', which is a quite productive derivational device in Portuguese (particularly in BP), *e.g.* *dar uma cadeirada* 'give an chair-ed', *dar uma mãozada* 'give a hand-ed', *dar uma esquentada* 'give a warm-ed', *etc.*

This dataset was integrated into STRING [Mamede et al. 2012], a fully-fledged, hybrid (statistical and rule-based) Natural Language Processing chain for Portuguese. It performs all the basic NLP tasks (tokenization, sentence splitting, part-of-speech (POS) tagging, POS-disambiguation, chunking and deep parsing). The STRING system uses XIP – Xerox Incremental Parser [Mokhtar et al. 2002] for its parsing module, which is rule-based and uses finite-state technology. XIP segments sentences into chunks (NP, PP, VP, *etc.*) and extracts dependency relations between the chunks' heads: SUBJECT, CDIR

(Direct Object), MODifier, etc. In this framework, *SVC* parsing consists in the automatic generation, directly from the LG matrix, of dependency rules in the XIP format, which allow the parser to extract the dependency holding between the support verb and the predicative noun. This dependency is called *SUPPORT*. A set of programs were built for: (i) validating the linguistic data manually inputted into the LG matrix; and, then, (ii) automatically converting it into XIP dependency extraction rules.

The general strategy towards the implementation of *SVC* in *STRING* is sketched as follows: First, all XIP's normal parsing procedures are applied and the basic syntactic dependencies are extracted, specially *SUBJ*[ect], *CDIR* (direct object) and *MOD*[ifier] (for *PPs*), that is, the dependencies holding between the verb and its arguments, as for any ordinary distributional verb. Then, the special set rules for *SVC* identification operate upon the parse that has been produced so far in order to extract the *SUPPORT* dependency. Basically, these rules match, for each support verb and predicative noun combination, the dependencies already extracted (e.g., the *CDIR* between *deu* 'gave' and *abraço* 'hug' in *Rui deu um abraço no João* 'Rui gave a hug to João'). Rules also consider Passive, Relative, and other structures transformationally derived from the base sentence (e.g. *O abraço que foi dado pelo Rui no João* 'The hug that was given by Rui to João'). Once this *SUPPORT* dependency has been extracted, then the following parsing stages can take it into account, for example, in the assignment of semantic roles.

The dependency rules consider the distinction between two main cases: (i) *elementary sentences*, whose dependency is called *SUPPORT*; these include both the *standard* (or active-like) constructions (e.g. *Rui deu um beijo na Eva* 'Rui gave a kiss to Eva') and the *converse* (or passive-like) constructions [Gross 1989, Baptista 1997, Rassi et al. 2014b] (e.g. *Eva ganhou um beijo do Rui* 'Ana got a kiss from Rui'); the *SUPPORT* dependency receives two features, depending on whether it corresponds the *\_standard* and *\_converse* constructions; and (ii) *causative constructions* [Gross 1981, p.23], which involve a causative operator verb (*VopC*) and a predicative noun, whose dependency is called *VOP-CAUSE*, and which are not considered as elementary sentences (e.g. *Algo deu raiva na Ana* 'Something gave anger in/on Ana'; cp. *Ana tem raiva* 'Ana has anger'). As the causative constructions occurred only 3 times in the 580 sentences of the reference corpus, they were ignored in this paper.

#### 4. Evaluation

In order to evaluate the overall performance of the system, a reference corpus containing 2,646 sentences with *SVC* in (Brazilian) Portuguese was produced [Rassi et al. 2015], constituting a golden standard for *SVC* processing. These constructions have been manually and independently annotated by 5 annotators, all Portuguese native speakers, professional linguists, and experts in *SVC*. The average agreement between annotators was 80.8% and Cohen's Kappa was 0.604, which can be considered in the range between "moderate" and "substantial". The reference subcorpus for this paper consists of a sample containing 580 sentences with the verb *dar* 'give' and 8 stylistic or aspectual variants<sup>2</sup>.

The evaluation of the new module of the Portuguese grammar for XIP parser in *STRING* was carried out in two stages: (i) a preliminary evaluation took as reference the

---

<sup>2</sup>The reference corpus is available at <https://sites.google.com/site/amandaprassi/recursos>

580 manually annotated sentences, considering the majority agreement among the annotators; (ii) the second evaluation was carried out with the same sample of sentences but after error analysis was performed. This analysis made possible to spot some inconsistencies in the annotation as well as some few errors in the Lexicon-Grammar. For example, some diminutive forms of *-da* ‘-ed’ ending nouns (e.g. *arrumadinha* ‘little tidy-ed up’) had not been adequately analyzed by STRING and hence were not associated with its lemma (*arrumada* ‘tidy-ed up’). This enabled us to improve the STRING lexicon. On the other hand, the inconsistent annotation of some *SVC* as idioms or some linking operator verbs as support verbs led us to refine the criteria for a more precise distinction between those categories. For lack of space, a fully detailed error analysis can not be presented here. The new (corrected) reference was then compared with STRING’s new results in a second evaluation run. Results from both runs are compared in Table 1, using standard evaluation metrics (Precision, Recall, Accuracy and F-Measure). In this table, TP=true positives, FP=false-positives, FN=false-negatives and TN=true-negatives.

	TP	FP	FN	TN	Precision	Recall	Accuracy	F-Measure
First evaluation	350	91	114	25	79%	75%	65%	77%
Second evaluation	325	56	84	115	85%	79%	76%	82%

**Tabela 1. First and second evaluations of STRING’s performance**

Comparing the first and second evaluation runs, one can see that the system’s overall performance shows a small improvement. The most important change is the number of true-negative cases (TN), due mostly to a more precise definition and reclassification of idioms (e.g. *dar nome* ‘give name to’, *dar a volta por cima* ‘turn things around’) or the verb *ter* ‘have’ as a linking *Vop* (e.g. *Eu tenho uma informação para (dar para) você* ‘I have an information to (give to) you’). Some errors derive from previous modules of the processing chain, for example errors in POS-tagging and disambiguation, in the chunking or in the syntactic parsing. Other errors came from the ambiguity between standard and converse constructions, especially when involving the verb *ter* ‘have’ [Rassi et al. 2014a].

## 5. Final remarks and future work

This paper reported preliminary experiments in integrating into the STRING NLP system, more precisely into the rule-based parser XIP, a set of about 1,000 *SVC*, involving the elementary support verb *dar* ‘give’ and its variants, from European and Brazilian Portuguese. The results are promising and suggest that a rule-based approach is suitable for the analysis of support verb constructions. Furthermore, the methodology presented in this paper proved that it is possible to parse the (sometimes complex) syntactic structure that *SVC* present, so as to be able to use this for further NLP processing (e.g. semantic role labeling, anaphora resolution).

In the near future, we intend to integrate into STRING the already available Lexicon-Grammar matrices of the remaining predicative nouns, with the support verbs *estar Prep* ‘be Prep’, *ser de* ‘be of’, *fazer* ‘make/do’ and *ter* ‘have’, and evaluate the system’s performance, by using the full corpus of 2,646 manually annotated sentences.

### Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by national funds through Portuguese Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (ref. UID/CEC/50021/2013), and Brazilian CAPES (ref. BEX 12751/13-8).

## Referências

- Baptista, J. (1997). *Sermão, tarefa e facada: uma classificação das expressões conversas dar-levar*. *Seminários de Linguística 1*, pages 5–37.
- Calzolari, N., Fillmore, C. J., Grishman, R., Ide, N., Lenci, A., Macleod, C., and Zampolli, A. (2002). Towards best practices for Multiword Expressions in Computational Lexicons. In *Proceedings of LREC'02*, pages 1934–1940, Las Palmas, Spain.
- de Cruys, T. V. and Moirón, B. V. (2007). Semantics-based Multiword Expression extraction. In *Proceedings of MWE'07*, pages 25–32, Morristown, NJ, USA. ACL.
- Diab, M. and Bhutada, P. (2009). Verb Noun Construction MWE Token Supervised Classification. In *Proceedings of the MWE'09*, pages 17–22, Stroudsburg, PA, USA. ACL.
- Fazly, A. and Stevenson, S. (2007). Distinguishing Subtypes of Multiword Expressions Using Linguistically-Motivated Statistical Measures. In *Proceedings of MWE'07*, pages 9–16, Prague, Czech Republic. ACL.
- Gross, G. (1989). *Les construction converses du français*. Droz, Genève.
- Gross, M. (1981). Les bases empiriques de la notion de prédicat sémantique. *Langages*, (63):7–52.
- Gurrutxaga, A. and Alegria, I. (2011). Automatic extraction of NV Expressions in Basque: Basic Issues on Cooccurrence Techniques. In *Proceedings of MWE'11*, pages 2–7, Portland, Oregon, USA. ACL.
- Mamede, N., Baptista, J., Cabarrão, V., and Diniz, C. (2012). STRING: An hybrid statistical and rule-based Natural Language Processing chain for Portuguese. In *International Conference on Computational Processing of Portuguese (PROPOR 2012)*, volume Demo Session, Coimbra, Portugal.
- Mel'cuk, I. (1997). *Vers une linguistique Sens-Texte*. Collège de France, Paris.
- Mokhtar, S. A., Chanod, J.-P., and Roux, C. (2002). Robustness beyond shallowness: incremental dependency parsing. *Natural Language Engineering*, pages 121–144.
- Rassi, A., Baptista, C. S.-T. A. J., Mamede, N., and Vale, O. (2014a). The fuzzy boundaries of operator verb and support verb constructions with *dar* 'give' and *ter* 'have' in Brazilian Portuguese. In *Proceedings of Workshop on Lexical and Grammatical Resources for Language Processing*, pages 92–101, Dublin, Ireland. COLING 2014.
- Rassi, A., Perussi, N., Baptista, J., and Vale, O. (2014b). Estudo contrastivo sobre as construções conversas em PB e PE. In *Anais do Congresso de Estudos do Léxico*, volume 1, Araraquara, SP, Brasil. UNESP.
- Rassi, A. P., Baptista, J., and Vale, O. A. (2015). Um corpus anotado de construções com verbo-suporte em Português. *Gragoatá*, 38(1):207–230.
- Sag, I. A., Baldwin, T., Bond, F., Copestake, A., and Flickinger, D. (2002). Multiword Expressions: A Pain in the Neck for NLP. In Gelbukh, A., editor, *Proceedings of CICLing*, pages 1–15, Mexico City, Mexico.
- Stevenson, S., Fazly, A., and North, R. (2004). Statistical Measures of the Semi-Productivity of Light Verb Constructions. In *Proceedings of MWE'04*, pages 1–8, Barcelona, Spain. ACL.
- Tan, Y. F., Kan, M.-Y., and Cui, H. (2006). Extending corpus-based identification of light verb constructions using a supervised learning framework. In *Proceedings of MWE'06*, pages 49–56, Trento, Italy. ACL.
- Tu, Y. and Roth, D. (2011). Learning English Light Verb Constructions: Contextual or Statistics. In *Proceedings of MWE'11*, pages 31–39, Portland, Oregon, USA. ACL.
- Wang, Y. and Ikeda, T. (2008). Translation of the Light Verb Constructions in Japanese-Chinese Machine Translation. *Advances in Natural Language Processing and Applications*, 33:139–150.

