An X3D Framework for Developing Adaptive Virtual Environments

Luiz Fernando Braga Lopes^{1,2}, José Barbosa Dias Junior³, Eduardo Filgueiras Damasceno⁴, Alexandre Cardoso⁵, Edgard Afonso Lamounier Junior⁵

¹CESUMAR - Centro Universitário de Maringá, ²UNIPAR - Universidade Paranaense, ³Instituto Federal de Goiás - Campus de Goiânia, ⁴Instituto Federal Goiano - Campus de Rio Verde, ⁵UFU - Universidade Federal de Uberlândia

lfbraga@{cesumar.br,unipar.br}, juniorddos@yahoo.com.br, edamasceno@cefetrv.edu.br, alexandre@ufu.br, lamounier@ufu.br

Abstract

This work describes the concepts related to Adaptive Interfaces and presents a framework model for the management of Interaction Interfaces in Virtual Reality Environments. To that aim, characteristics of Adaptive Virtual Environments, as well as the technologies needed for the development of Virtual Reality Interfaces were identified. In addition to that, an Artificial Intelligence model was adopted for the reorganization of information based on user interaction in a specific digital teaching context. The combination of these three elements forms the basis of this adaptive framework, which provides an environment favorable to the development of specific educational approaches trough the generation of individualized contexts.

Keywords: Adaptive Interface, Virtual Reality, Artificial Intelligence, Digital Teaching

1. Introduction

Every user in front a computer, especially those in an educational environment, possesses different levels of knowledge, varied needs, abilities and preferences. In this context, the need to develop computational systems that can be adaptable to the diversity of characteristics of each individual user, or groups of users, has emerged from the prospect of individualized education, or processes, that would allow the student to progress according to his or her own interests and objectives, in his or her own pace. This need finds in the use of Adaptive Environments (AE) an important alternative. In an attempt to open the way for user's initial interactive process, an interface design possesses basic instruments which are very similar to those used in "real-world" architecture, such as: esthetic (graphic elements) or plastic (geometric forms) modeling; consideration and classification of aspects related to technological investigation and innovation; building

viability; rationalization of elements and resources; functionality; satisfaction of users' basic needs; and the different means available for execution. Although digital interfaces have become increasingly more complex due to successive additions of resources, most users only use a fraction of the functionalities provided by programs. In most programs, all commands are available to the user at the same time, which significantly decreases the screen's available working area, leading to visual pollution. This quickly becomes a problem when users need more room for their work, like the edition of an image or writing a document [1]. The aim of this work was to contribute to the development of an intelligent framework that can adapt to the individual needs of each user, at the same time that it provides a "cleaner" interface, one in which only what is essential for managing the activity is available. Thus, some relevant reference work on the different characteristics of Virtual Environments was investigated, and three-dimensional modeling techniques based on XML (Extensible Markup Language) were applied in order to demonstrate the capability of the architecture developed here. This architecture, applied to the study of physics, provides intelligent services in virtual environments that can become adherent to the user through real-time generation of customized profiles, enhancing usability in this application domain. This set of factors boosts interactivity between user and machine, especially in educational, games and entertainment contexts.

2. Adaptive Virtual Environments

Adaptivity in VE, for study and research in the most diverse areas of science, aims at presenting information adapted to the users' knowledge, needs and preferences. A program is said to be adaptive, if it is capable of automatically change its behavior according to the context [2]. Statically designed digital interfaces offer a rigid structure that may not see to the requirements of, or even motivate and guide, users. In contrast, adaptive interfaces can gather information on users during the interface's definition process, at the same time as it is presented to them. The action of gathering information aims at automatically identifying the personal interests of each user, and forming an organized database of interests. The overall objective of these systems is to provide users with update content, subjectively interesting, with pertinent information, size and depth adequate to the context, and in direct correspondence to their individual profile. This profile may be understood as a source of knowledge that contains information, explicitly or implicitly acquired, on all aspects relevant to the user, with the purpose of being used in a software application adaptation process. Adaptivity has been studied as a possible solution to overcome usability problems and for the customization of different characteristics of 3D domains, such as the disposition of geometries and interaction controls [3]. An activity displayed on a digital interface has to adapt to users' profiles in terms of necessities or interests, and not the other way round. According to Gardner's Multiple Intelligences theory [4], each individual has different sets of abilities and, consequently, not all of them learn in the same pace. Therefore, it is the educator's role to discover alternative ways to promote the development of the various student abilities, restructuring the relationship between student the educator.

3. Cases of Virtual Environments Design

Information collected in the form of preliminary questionnaires is used by adaptivity techniques to customize users' experience. Such techniques are commonly used by Internet Portals in which, by the use of personal identification and a password, pages are shown in an individualized way. A good example is the virtual libraries, which can automatically display subjects previously researched by the user [3]. Hence, a computerized system is capable, to a great extent, of self-management, as control parameters and tolerance limits are established, which provide the conditions to enhance the result intended. Thus, the relevant adaptive systems that contributed to the development of this research are presented below.

In a recent article, the intention was to present a software development process model, with corresponding methodology, that is specifically orientated to the development of a small application for a multimedia systems classroom, the so-called virtual laboratories. In this project, named VirtLab, the multimedia reproduction of a real laboratory into a computer system is presented as a virtual laboratory. The virtual components correspond to those of a real laboratory and are, for example, devices, accessories and substances normally found in this task model [5]. Many articles found in the literature are dedicated to introduce new interactions techniques, or to describe particular software configurations as well as their use in different situations. The environments presented above, in general, offer flexibility in 3D interactions, introduce particularities for their use and propose relevant characteristics for interactive assessment. A point in common among the environments identified is that they are dynamic (reactive) interfaces. This characteristic enables the environment to provide some suggestion of localization or orientation, in case users get distracted, or do not have the aptitude necessary for the interaction. However, in addition to these characteristics, there are other usability patterns that can be used to explore the interaction context even further. Examples of those factors may be displayed on the interface as wizards, guidance, error management, standard help or audiovisual alerts [6]. Applied to a pedagogic domain, a VE must be sufficiently flexible and attractive to attend all students' needs, function in all levels of ability and academic performance, and engage a variety of intellectual possibilities, so that students may gather all their talents for the resolution of their tasks [7].

4. The X3D-Based Framework

For an interaction design to be successful, the need to involve various technologies leads to the understanding about the importance of how the users act and react to situations, and how they communicate and interact. One of the main benefits of these technologies, such as VR, is to offer alternative ways to represent and interact with information, which is not possible with traditional interaction technologies. Thus, VR has the potential to offer apprentices the possibility to explore ideas and concepts in different ways [8]. The environment developed in this work is characterized by its high interactivity, being capable of altering its behavior in a dynamic way in response to the variations detected when users are carrying out tasks (Figure 1). Therefore, having a pedagogical context in mind, the specific objectives of this work involved: (i) collect information on the handling of 3D interfaces, identifying the characteristics that can contribute to the management of the architecture; (ii) provide an environment composed by objects that offer interactive details relevant to the interface; (iii) apply a computational intelligence model that can supply responses in adequate time, not negatively affecting the feeling of involvement of users; (iv) adapt the interface to users, and not users to the interface.

minimizing information overload; and (v) introduce this adaptive model in a wide and diversified area (classroom).




Figure 1: Cycle proposed by the framework

5. Implementation - Process Route

From a pedagogical point of view, it has been attempted to develop an architecture that would enhance learning through users' relationship with the environment's graphic interface. Thus, users feel confident in exploring those virtual spaces made available to them. Hence, the **Interaction Layer** consists of a group of virtual objects, in which each object is associated to an event, or group of events, to assist users in their tasks. The main responsibilities of the objects in this layer are:

a) Present an initial interface - in case students do not possess a user model yet - or a customized interface, based on the current users' models;

b) Receive requests (inputs) from users;

c) Collect information about users, such as features and behavior during the use of the computer or system by monitoring computer use (register programs concomitantly with the beginning of the activity, and monitor the activity's relevant processes), or by requesting this information directly from users;

d) Control scene navigation through, for example, restrictions to the movements of the view point;

e) Pause and re-start the activity, allowing flexibility during the activities' development and administration;f) Register the activities' running time;

g) Re-present information to users in a customized fashion (individualized).

The **Behavior Layer** consists of a set of pieces of information that promotes interface adaptation to users. The elements that compose this layer are the following: a) Build, represent, and keep adaptation models, according to users' models;

b) Update users' models according to adaptation rules, adopting a retro-feeding system and analyzing the

results for possible system effectiveness improvements;

c) Process the information provided by users through an interface object, updating or, in case they do not exist yet, creating users' models;

d) Establish targets or infer suppositions about users, or group of users, based on users' models;

e) Reformulate users' consultations, tasks and targets according to users' models, and make them available for the corresponding adaptation object.

The **Modeling Layer**, on the other hand, can be defined as a representation of some visual characteristics of 3D objects included in the scene, as well as the evolution of the interaction interface itself. This layer builds the environment through the observation of users' behaviors when using the system, collecting information on their characteristics and interests. As a result, the system becomes capable of inferring facts (environment re-organization) for users based on their actions. The services provided by this layer are listed below:

a) Encase characteristics, such as the colors and sizes of objects included in the scene;

b) Remodel the visualization environment (scene objects), as well as the interaction environment (navigation controls, interface arrangement);

c) Convert (update) files from VRML to X3D format;

d) Include sonorous and visual alerts, as well as non-conventional help menu.

6. Case Study - Assessing the Approach

The analysis and modeling work, discussed in the previous Sections, have been validated with a prototypical implementation of the Solar System, loaded in a commercial browser plug-in. The action of uploading an X3D file directly results in the installation of some kind of plug-in, in which the interaction controls are fixedly displayed and in different positions, which can be changed by updating introduced by manufactures. The process initially takes place with students going through a kind of log in to have access to the system; in case it is their first access, they are directed to register into the system. From then on, the collection of information on users starts with the definition of the file that will contain the data on the interaction. The next interface presents students with various educational contexts.

Taking into consideration that students had already had access to the system, they will be able to proceed to the next task from the beginning, or to continue with some task already started. After choosing the activity, a generic interface is presented along an X3D file that contains the virtual scene. From this point on, as the users interact with the environment, the concepts related to their profiles can be changed, in case an evolution in their knowledge state is identified (Figure 2). According to this state, the system determines if new objects should be inserted or updated in the VE. Thus, the system can adapt itself to users during their interaction with the environment.




Figure 2: Variations interfaces seeking accommodation to User Profile

Based on the results obtained from the interactions taking place along the process, the environment may lead to the adoption of more adequate strategies to lead students' learning of a particular subject. The objects composed by events and their respective characteristics may be reassessed and altered at any moment. In the end, all the system's processes result in this interface which will have to be unique for each user, containing easy access to all resources that, in thesis, will better contribute for the evolution of their learning.

7. Conclusions and Proposals for Further Research

A question of relevance for the community that researches VEs concerns the difficulty a system has to create and update users' profiles, since, in the great majority of these systems, users tend to have a static profile, i.e., one that do not progress with time, leading to loss of interest. In this work, an architecture that tries to assist in preserving users' interest was presented. Based on dynamic user interaction, it is possible to predict new interests, and surprise users with objects displayed in more attractive areas. This results in a more adequate learning environment to users' individual profile, as well as supplying the conditions for developing different forms of competence, and thus empowering the learning process. Many digital interfaces that require the manipulation of information by users for the

performance of tasks were not necessarily designed having users in mind. Actually, they were only typically designed as systems to carry out certain functions. Essentially, it means to develop interactive interfaces that are easy, pleasant and effective to use, always from users' perspective. With the architecture presented here, it is attempted to contribute to the study of adaptive interfaces for the creation of an information-rich environment, which will increase the level of satisfaction of users when carrying out tasks pedagogical environments.

8. References

- STUERZLINGER, W.; CHAPUIS, O.; PHILLIPS, D.; ROUSSEL, N. User interface façades: towards fully adaptable user interfaces. In Proceedings of the 19th Annual ACM Symposium on User interface Software and Technology (Montreux, Switzerland, October 15 -18, 2006). UIST '06. ACM Press, New York, NY, 309-318.
- [2] HENRICKSEN, K.; INDULSKA, J. Adapting the Web Interface: An Adaptive Web Browser. Proceedings of the Second Australasian User Interface Conference (AUIC.01) 0-7695-0969-X/01, IEEE, 2001
- [3] CELENTANO, A.; PITTARELLO, F. Observing and adapting user behavior in navigational 3D interfaces. In Proceedings of the Working Conference on Advanced Visual interfaces (Gallipoli, Italy, May 25 — 28, 2004). AVI '04. ACM Press, New York, NY, 275-282.
- [4] GARDNER, H. Frames of Mind: The Theory of Multiple Intelligences. Basic Books 10th edition, April 20, 1993.
- [5] SCHERP, A. Software Development Process Model and Methodology for Virtual Laboratories. In Proceedings of the 20th IASTED International Multi-Conference 'Applied Informatics' (AI 2002), Innsbruck, Austria, February 18-21, 2002.
- [6] JURISTO, N.; LOPEZ, M.; MORENO, A.; SÁNCHEZ, M. Improving Software Usability through Architectural Patterns. In: Workshop Bridging the Gaps between SE and HCI - International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE), 2003, USA. 2003, pp. 12-19.
- [7] WISKE, Martha Stone. Teaching for Understanding: Linking Research with Practice. Jossey Bass Education Series. October 1997
- [8] PREECE, Jennifer; ROGERS, Yvonne; SHARP, Helen. Interaction Design: Beyond Human-computer Interaction. Publisher: John Wiley & Sons; 2nd Edition (12 Jan 2007)