A machine learning examination of women’s leadership effectiveness in software development processes
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Abstract. The inclusion of women in information technology companies and software development processes is vital for fostering diverse perspectives and innovative problem-solving. We analyzed 793 instances representing globally distributed software development teams, aiming to show that female-led teams outperform male-led ones. Through descriptive statistics and Welch’s t-test, we confirmed this hypothesis. Using a decision tree with only three inputs—female leadership presence, total team members, and female team members—we achieved 76.79% accuracy, significantly reducing computational time compared to using all 85 dataset attributes. This approach also informs recommendation systems for assembling development teams, emphasizing the value of gender diversity in enhancing team dynamics and solutions in the tech industry.

1. Introduction

Software engineering, a field within computer science, involves activities ranging from designing and creating software to evaluating and deploying it [Naseer et al. 2020]. Additionally, Information Technology (IT) has become indispensable across various industries, playing a crucial role in streamlining processes and cutting service delivery times [Alves et al. 2021]. Many organizations and universities choose team-based strategies that involve multiple participants, often with teams distributed globally. Furthermore, the digitization of businesses has become a global trend, spurred by factors like government regulations, market competition, and the pursuit of operational efficiency.

Facing challenges such as refining project concepts, meeting deadlines, and resolving conflicts among team members can strain relationships and necessitate team restructuring [Petkovic et al. 2016]. Particularly in academia, students often face obstacles in forming teams, communicating effectively, meeting deadlines, and adhering to project guidelines [Soares et al. 2021]. However, as technology evolves, professionals must continually adapt and enhance their skills to navigate through novel and sometimes daunting situations [Rodrigues et al. 2022].

In the realm of Software Engineering, successful software implementation and development hinge upon effective team management, whether in the academic context or in companies [Petkovic et al. 2014]. However, it’s notable that a disproportionately small number of software development teams are led by women, reflecting the broader gender disparity prevalent in the field of information technology [Lima et al. 2021, Ferreira et al. 2019]. Nonetheless, as the IT landscape evolves, there’s a growing recognition of the importance of gender diversity, particularly within sectors like software...
and application development processes [Alves et al. 2021, Rodrigues et al. 2022]. Consequently, companies are increasingly championing the inclusion of women in technology roles, acknowledging the value they bring to innovation and team dynamics [Rodrigues et al. 2022, Lima et al. 2021].

An essential area for consideration is the efficacy of teams managed by women. It is imperative to evaluate whether such teams can achieve high performance levels in their designated tasks and pinpoint the key factors contributing to their success [Beghoura 2021]. Thus, this research aims to delve deep into the impact of women in team leadership roles on the success of software development teams operating across various team configurations, including the percentage of women in teams. In our exploration of previous literature, no studies were discovered that delved into the analysis of [Petkovic et al. 2012] dataset or examined the influence of female team leaders on team performance.

Transitioning into our initial analysis, we began by employing descriptive statistics, which was followed by the application of Welch’s t-test to validate our hypotheses. Subsequently, this study employs an artificial intelligence (AI), known as machine learning techniques, specifically decision trees, to identify the most relevant attributes pertaining to team compositions led by women and the quantity of women within teams. The decision tree is pivotal in establishing a set of rules for recommending an effective team composition, employing a concise array of attributes and minimal rules for decision-making. It is crucial to note that our goal is not to outperform other studies in accuracy, given their use of the entire dataset. Rather, we aim for strong accuracy by focusing on female attributes in team assembly, offering insights for future research.

2. Methodology
This study utilizes real-world data to examine teams led by women in software development phases and their success factors. It employs comprehensive data analysis with Artificial Intelligence (AI) techniques, aligning with applied IT research. This study aims to analyze various software development teams, assessing the influence of female leadership on team performance and identifying optimal team compositions. It is descriptive research with explanatory aims, delving into the nature of relationships between variables.

Our study conducts experiments with variables using a dataset in .xlsx format within the KNIME Analytics Platform [Dornelas and Lima 2023]. The research involves 40 steps, incorporating machine learning techniques, particularly the C4.5 decision tree model (DT) [Shafer et al. 1996]. It follows an experimental framework, defining the object of study, selecting influential variables, and establishing control rules, particularly focusing on the impact of female leadership in software development.

As materials, a dataset sourced from the UCI Machine Learning Repository\(^1\) is utilized, comprising team activity measurements and machine learning results from student teams in Software Engineering projects across various universities. This quantitative approach characterizes the study as a single case study, with the dataset holding promise for predicting student learning from teamwork activities.

The dataset includes Team Activity Measures (TAMs) and outcomes from 74 student teams in software engineering classes across multiple semesters at three universities.

It focuses on students' engagement and performance in final class projects. Each team, consisting of 5-6 students, is graded as A or F based on process adherence and product quality. The data, gathered through weekly timecards, instructor observations, and tool usage logs, is aggregated into TAMs [Petkovic et al. 2016]. These TAMs are valuable for classification tasks in Computer Science, particularly for analyzing sequential, time-series data. The dataset's mix of integer and real features makes it suitable for various analytical approaches to understand and improve team dynamics and project outcomes in software engineering education [Petkovic et al. 2016].

3. Results

In this section, we present three types of analysis. The first experiment involves a statistical descriptive analysis to examine the statistics of manually selected attributes. The second experiment focuses on our hypothesis test to verify whether teams led by women exhibit significantly better performance in mean compared to teams led by men. Finally, we utilize decision tree learning with three different attribute compositions. Our objective is to demonstrate that using attributes related to women can result in good accuracy that can be used to create process development teams in future.

3.1. Descriptive statistics

Table 1 provides statistical summaries for various parameters related to team performance and composition. The `teamMemberCount` column indicates that the teams consist of a minimum of 3 members and a maximum of 7, with an average team size of approximately 5.19 members. The low standard deviation suggests that team sizes generally cluster closely around the mean. Regarding the `femaleTeamMembersPercent`, the data reveals that the percentage of female team members ranges from 0% to approximately 83.33%. The mean value of approximately 0.176 suggests that, on average, female members constitute around 17.6% of the team composition. In terms of `teamLeadGender`, the mean value of approximately 0.193 indicates that, on average, about 19.3% of the teams are led by females. The positive skewness suggests that there are relatively fewer female-led teams compared to male-led ones. Similarly, for `teamDistribution`, the mean value of approximately 0.201 indicates that, on average, around 20.1% of the teams have a specific distribution. The positive skewness indicates that a higher proportion of teams fall into this category compared to those with other distributions.

The `teamMemberResponseCount` column reveals that the average number
of responses per team member is approximately 28.24, with a wide range of responses ranging from 1 to 84. The high variance suggests significant variability in response rates across teams. The commitCount column shows that teams make an average of approximately 115 commits, with a wide range of commit counts from 0 to 783. The high kurtosis indicates a heavy-tailed distribution, suggesting that some teams make an unusually large number of commits. Regarding issueCount and onTimeIssueCount, the mean values are approximately 1.72 and 1.36, respectively, indicating the average number of issues and on-time issues per team. The high kurtosis for issueCount suggests a heavy-tailed distribution, while the positive skewness for onTimeIssueCount indicates that the majority of teams have fewer on-time issues. Lastly, the timeInterval column suggests that the average time interval between events is approximately 6.09 units, with a standard deviation of approximately 3.15. The negative skewness indicates that the distribution of time intervals is skewed to the left, with a longer tail on the left-hand side.

3.2. Hypothesis test

The summary statistics provide a comprehensive overview of the performance metrics for teams led by women (Group $G_1$) compared to those led by men (Group $G_2$) using the AAT Bioquest\textsuperscript{2}. Group $G_1$ has a higher average performance score ($\mu_1 = 0.8562$) compared to $G_2$ ($\mu_2 = 0.6234$). The 95% Confidence Intervals for $G_1$ and $G_2$ are (0.912, 0.661), indicating a high probability that the true mean scores lie within these ranges. Both groups have similar median scores of 1. $G_1$ shows less variability (variance 0.1239, standard deviation 0.352) than $G_2$. Performance scores for both groups range from 0 to 1. With a larger sample size in $G_1$ (640) compared to $G_2$ (153), these statistics provide insights into the performance of teams led by women and men in software development.

The Welch’s t-test was conducted to compare the performance of teams led by female and male leaders using $G_1$ and $G_2$, respectively. We formulated the following hypotheses:

**Null Hypothesis** ($H_0$): There is no significant difference between the mean scores of the $G_1$ teams led by women and $G_2$ teams led by men ($\mu_1 = \mu_2$).

**Alternative Hypothesis** ($H_a$): There is a significant difference between the mean scores of the $G_1$ teams led by women and $G_2$ teams led by men ($\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$).

The resulting p-value of $6.0494e-11$ indicates a highly significant difference in performance between the two groups. With a calculated t-statistic of 6.7838 and degrees of freedom (df) of 306.1791, the test underscores the robustness of the observed disparity. The 95% Confidence Interval for the difference in means (0.1653, 0.3003) further supports the conclusion that teams led by female leaders exhibit significantly higher performance compared to those led by male leaders. Therefore, we reject the null hypothesis ($H_0$), indicating that there is indeed a significant difference between the performance of teams led by female and male leaders.

3.3. Machine learning

Table 2 presents the results of the decision tree algorithm applied to different sets of attributes in the dataset. Each row represents a specific set of attributes used in the model.

while the columns provide associated performance analysis. Attribute sets comprise three categories: “All 85 dataset Attributes”, “10 Manually selected Attributes” (displayed in Table 1), and “3 selected Female Attributes” (the first three elements shown in Table 1).

Table 2. Output of decision tree algorithm applied into dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Columns considered</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>FP</th>
<th>TN</th>
<th>FN</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>F-measure</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Cohen’s kappa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85 features</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.722433</td>
<td>0.750988</td>
<td>0.722433</td>
<td>0.881132</td>
<td>0.736434</td>
<td>0.828499</td>
<td>0.609402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.881132</td>
<td>0.864815</td>
<td>0.881132</td>
<td>0.722433</td>
<td>0.872897</td>
<td>0.829760</td>
<td>0.614881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 features</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.737643</td>
<td>0.746154</td>
<td>0.737643</td>
<td>0.875472</td>
<td>0.741874</td>
<td>0.829760</td>
<td>0.614881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.875472</td>
<td>0.870544</td>
<td>0.875472</td>
<td>0.737643</td>
<td>0.873001</td>
<td>0.829760</td>
<td>0.614881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 features</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.581749</td>
<td>0.674009</td>
<td>0.581749</td>
<td>0.860377</td>
<td>0.624490</td>
<td>0.767970</td>
<td>0.457915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.860377</td>
<td>0.805654</td>
<td>0.860377</td>
<td>0.581749</td>
<td>0.832117</td>
<td>0.767970</td>
<td>0.457915</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Performance metrics include true positives (TP), false positives (FP), true negatives (TN), false negatives (FN), sensitivity, precision, sensitivity, specificity, F-measure, accuracy, and Cohen’s Kappa.

These analyses evaluate the model’s performance in accurately assessing instances within each class. Results show varying impacts of different attribute sets on model performance, with notable differences observed. For instance, the “All Attributes” set achieved an accuracy of approximately 0.8284, while the “Female Attributes” set yielded 0.7679, and the highest accuracy came from manual attribute filtering at 0.8297. Even with just three female attributes, the significance remains, highlighting gender’s importance in classifying team performance. This aligns with hypothesis test findings, emphasizing gender diversity’s significance.

Using a small number of attributes, it is possible to determine an effective team configuration based on female attributes, considering team size. While our goal is not to surpass previous works, our paper is concerned with isolating the most significant variables to reduce computational processing. When using 10 features, we achieved an overall accuracy of 82.976%, and when using only female attributes, the accuracy was 76.797%, surpassing [Petkovic et al. 2016], who achieved only 70% accuracy. Gender acts as a significant guide, enabling the model to discern patterns and make informed classifications.

4. Conclusions and future work

This study examined performance gaps between teams led by women and men in software development, using hypothesis testing and machine learning. Findings showed significant differences, with female-led teams outperforming and exhibiting less variability. The Welch t-test confirmed these results, rejecting the null hypothesis. Machine learning analysis deepened the understanding of team dynamics, particularly highlighting the influence of gender diversity. Notably, attribute sets with female attributes achieved high accuracy rates, emphasizing gender’s importance in team performance. Future research could explore gender diversity’s influence on team performance, including communication dynamics and leadership styles, to gain deeper insights.
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